UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,794	02/03/2004	Marie-Madeleine Cals-Grierson	016800-590	9252
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 07/17/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	ROGERS, JUNE MARIE		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1609		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/769,794	CALS-GRIERSON, MARIE- MADELEINE		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Juné M. Rogers	1609		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			·		
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□		action is non-final.			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	·		
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	; 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	1. Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority documents     Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
	application from the International Bureau	•	Teceived in this Mational Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachmen	it(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 1609

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24 drawn to a composition comprising at least one compound of formula I or its salts or metal complexes and an acceptable excipient, used to cosmetically and/or pharmacologically treat keratinic matter and mucous membranes by the production of nitric oxide or by and increase in the production of nitric oxide in or on the skin, the mucous membranes or the scalp, classified in class 514, subclass 183.
- II. Claims 25-26, drawn to method consisting of applying to the skin, mucus membranes and/or the scalp or ingesting at least one compound of formula I or its salts or metal complexes, classified in class 424, subclass 400.

The inventions are distinct, each for the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can also be used as a

Application/Control Number: 10/769,794

Art Unit: 1609

protective agent against free radicals, as disclosed on page 1, paragraph 6 of the specifications.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search for Group I is not required for Group II. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It is noted that while the searches for Group II and I may be overlapping, there is no reason to believe that the searchers would be co-extensive. In searching Group I, the Examiner will be focusing on the patentability of the product itself, and not the process of Group II. Conversely, in searching Group II, the Examiner will be focusing on the patentability of the process and not the product itself. Accordingly, a search for both groups would pose an undue burden on the Office.

Therefore, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C 121 to select a single disclosed species for prosecution the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable

## Rejoinder Notice

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## **Election**

Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, if Applicant elects Group I or Group II, Applicant is required to define each of Z, R, X with a particular species (a species definition like methyl, not a genus definition like alkyl) and any additional variables as required for a particular species as well as elect a single disclosed use, wherein the elected active agent

must correspond to the elected use. If Applicant elects Group II, Applicant is required to define each to define each of Z, R, X with a particular species as noted above and any additional variables as required for a particular species. Currently, claims 1-24 are generic to Group I and claims 25-26 are generic to Group II. Applicant is cautioned that the election of a specific specie that is not disclosed, as filed, may be considered new matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

# Election/Restriction Proper

MPEP § 809.02(d) states "[w] here only generi c claims are presented, no restriction can be required except in those applications where the generic claims recite such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search is necessary." Here, the claims recite such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search would be necessary if all the claimed species were to be examined simultaneously.

The present claims are directed to a method of treating keratinic matter and mucous membranes. Present claim 1, for example, provides a variety of possibilities for Z, R, and X. For hypothetical exemplification purposes only, if each of the variables above were search limited to 10 possible moieties there would be 10<sup>3</sup> possible species of compounds to be searched.

Further, The compounds are independent or distinct because having different chemical structures and therefore different therapeutic, cosmetic and pharmacological effects and would require a search for all species, which constitutes an undue burden to the office.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the

election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

### Conclusion

Due to the complicated nature of the restriction, the restriction requirement is being made via written correspondence in lieu of a telephone interview.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juné M. Rogers whose telephone number is 571-270-3497. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00-5:00 EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,794

Art Unit: 1609

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER